arXiv:1704.04220v1 [math.DG] 13 Apr 2017

Rigid geometries and their automorphism groups on leaf spaces of foliations

Nina I. Zhukova

April 14, 2017

Abstract

We introduce a category of rigid geometries on singular spaces which are leaf spaces of foliations and are considered as leaf manifolds. We separate out a special category $\mathfrak{F}_0$ of leaf manifolds containing the orbifold category as a full subcategory. Objects of $\mathfrak{F}_0$ may be non-Hausdorff unlike the orbifolds. The topology of some objects of $\mathfrak{F}_0$ do not satisfy the separation axiom T_0. It is shown that for every $\mathcal{N} \in Ob(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ a rigid geometry ξ on $\mathcal{N}$ admits a desingularization. Moreover, for every such $\mathcal{N}$ we prove the existence and the uniqueness of a finite dimensional Lie group structure on the group of all automorphisms $Aut(\mathcal{N}, \xi)$ of the rigid geometry ξ on $\mathcal{N}$.

Keywords: foliation; leaf space; leaf manifold; rigid geometry; automorphism group; orbifold.

AMS codes: 53C12; 57R30; 18F15

1 Introduction. Main results

Different approaches to investigation of additional structures on singular spaces of leaves of foliations are known [7]. Grotendieck presented an approach founded on consideration a leaf space M/F of a foliation (M, F) as a topos $Sh(M/F)$ formed by all sheaves of M which are invariant respectively holonomy diffeomorphisms of (M, F). Haefliger [8] constructed and used a classifying space $B\Gamma^n$ for foliations of codimension n which is the universal repellent object in the category of leaf spaces. Connes introduced a concept of C^*-algebra of complex valued functions with compact supports on the holonomy groupoid of a foliation (M, F) [5]. This C^*-algebra may be consider as a desingularization of the leaf space M/F. Losik developed a formal differential geometry [10] and applied it to the introduction new characteristic classes on singular leaf manifolds of foliations [9], [11]. At present the usage of holonomy groupoids and, in particular, of étale groupoids as models of leaf spaces of foliations takes central place [6].

As it was observed by Losik [11], a singular leaf space with a poor topology may have a rich differential geometry. Our work illustrates this assertion.

We investigate rigid geometrical structures on singular spaces which are leaf spaces of some class of smooth foliations of an arbitrary codimension n on $(m + n)$-dimensional manifolds, where $n > 0$, $m > 0$.

The rigid geometrical structures in sense of [17] include large classes of geometries such as Cartan, parabolic, conformal, projective, pseudo-Riemannian, Lorentzian, Riemannian, Weil and affine connection geometries, rigid geometries in the sense of [1] and also G-structures of finite type.

In this work we introduce a concept of rigid geometries on singular spaces which are leaf spaces of foliations and investigate their automorphism groups.

Following Losik [9], we define a smooth structure on a leaf space by an atlas (Subsection 4.1). Smooth leaf spaces we called leaf manifolds. They form the category $\mathfrak{Fol}$.

Further we assume that the foliations under consideration admit Ehresmann connections in the sense of Blumenthal and Hebda [3]. An Ehresmann connection for a foliation of codimension n is n-dimensional distribution transverse to the foliation, and the transfer of its integral curves along curves in leaves of the foliation is determined (the exact definition we recall in Subsection 3.1). An Ehresmann connection has global differentially topological character. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the category of leaf manifolds of foliations of codimension n with Ehresmann connections. Note that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a complete subcategory in $\mathfrak{Fol}$.

Definition 1. For a given leaf manifold $\mathcal{N}$, a smooth foliation (M, F) admitting an Ehresmann connection is called associated with $\mathcal{N}$ if on the leaf space M/F there exists a smooth structure such that it becomes an object M_F of the category $\mathfrak{F}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathfrak{F}$.

Note that for a given leaf manifold $\mathcal{N}$, there are a lot of associated foliations of different dimensions.

We say that on $\mathcal{N}$, there exists a rigid geometry if there exists a foliation (M, F) associated with $\mathcal{N} \cong M_F$ which admits this rigid geometry as a transverse structure. By definition, a rigid geometry on $\mathcal{N}$ is a pair $\xi = (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}, H), \omega_F)$, where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a smooth leaf manifold of the lifted foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ for (M, F) with the induced action of the structure group H of the transverse rigid geometry of (M, F) and $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the induced $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued 1-form on $\mathcal{R}_F$ (see Subsection 4.2).

The category of rigid geometries is denoted by $\mathfrak{RFol}$. Let $\mathfrak{RF}$ be its subcategory of rigid geometries on objects of $\mathfrak{F}$.

For a rigid geometry ξ on a leaf manifold $\mathcal{N} \cong M_F \in \mathfrak{F}$ we define a structural Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ (Subsection 4.2). Let $\mathfrak{RF}_0$ be the complete subcategory of $\mathfrak{RF}$ objects of which have zero structural Lie algebra. Let $\Psi : \mathfrak{RF} \to \mathfrak{F}$ be the covariant functor which forgets a rigid geometry. Put $\mathfrak{F}_0 = \Psi(\mathfrak{RF}_0)$ and note that $\mathfrak{F}_0$ is a complete subcategory of $\mathfrak{F}$.

Emphasize that any n-dimensional orbifold belongs to $Ob(\mathfrak{F}_0)$, and $\mathfrak{F}_0$ is a great expansion of the orbifold category. In particular, every leaf manifold $\mathcal{N} \in Ob(\mathfrak{F})$ admitting a rigid geometry and satisfying the separation axiom T_0, belongs to $Ob(\mathfrak{F}_0)$. Moreover, there are $\mathcal{N} \in Ob(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ which do not satisfy the separation axiom T_0.

We solve the problem of the existence and the uniqueness of a finite dimensional Lie group structure in the automorphism group $Aut(\xi)$ of the rigid geometry ξ on the leaf manifold $\mathcal{N}$ belonging to the category $\mathfrak{F}_0$.

The following two theorems are main results of this work.

Theorem 1. *Let $\xi \in Ob(\mathfrak{RF}_0)$ be a rigid geometry on the leaf manifold $\mathcal{N}$ defined by the TRG of an associated foliation (M, F). Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ be its lifted foliation with the projection $\pi : \mathcal{R} \to M$ of H-bundle and ω be the induced $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued 1-form on $\mathcal{R}$. Then*

(i) $\xi = (W(\mathcal{N}, H), \beta)$, where: 1) W is a smooth manifold isomorphic to the leaf manifold $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\mathfrak{F}$ with a smooth locally free action of the structure Lie group H on $W \cong \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\mathcal{N}$ is homeomorphic to the orbit space W/H, 2) the canonical projections $q : W \to W/H \cong \mathcal{N}$, $r : M \to M/F \cong W/H$ and $\pi_b : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{F} \cong W$ satisfy the equality $q \circ \pi_b = r \circ \pi$, 3) β is $\mathbb{R}^k$-valuated non-degenerate 1-form β on W such that $\beta \circ \pi_{b*} = \omega$;

(ii) the group $Aut(\xi)$ of all automorphisms of (ξ) admits a structure of a finite dimension Lie group, and its Lie group structure is defined uniquely;

(iii) the dimension of $Aut(\xi)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\dim Aut(\xi) \leq \dim W = n + k.$$

Thus a rigid geometry on every $\mathcal{N} \in Ob(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ admits desingularization indicated in Theorem 1.

Using Theorem 1 we prove the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a leaf manifold. Suppose that the topological space of $\mathcal{N}$ satisfies the separation axiom T_0 and $\mathcal{N}$ admits a rigid geometry ξ. Then:*

1) the pair $(\mathcal{N}, \xi)$ satisfies Theorem 1;

2) there exists an open dense subset $\mathcal{N}_0$ of $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_0$ with induced smooth structure is isomorphic in the category $\mathfrak{F}$ to an n-dimensional manifold, which is not necessarily connected and not necessarily Hausdorff.

Theorem 1 implies the following statement.

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an n-dimensional orbifold equipped with a rigid geometry ξ. Then the group $Aut(\xi)$ of all automorphisms of ξ admits a structure of a finite dimension Lie group, and its Lie group structure is defined uniquely, the dimension of $Aut(\mathcal{N}, \xi)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\dim Aut(\xi) \leq \dim W = n + s,$$

where s is the dimension of the structure Lie group H of ξ.

Remark 1. The main result in [2, Theorem 1] follows by evident way from Corollary 1.

Notations Let $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ denote the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on a manifold N. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a smooth distribution on M and $f : K \to M$ is a submersion, then let $f^*\mathfrak{M}$ be the distribution on the manifold K such that $(f^*\mathfrak{M})_z = \{X \in T_zK \mid f_{*z}(X) \in \mathfrak{M}_{f(z)}\}$, where $z \in K$. Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{M}}(M) = \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid X_u \in \mathfrak{M}_u \quad \forall u \in M\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}ol$ the foliation category in which morphisms are smooth maps transforming leaves to leaves. The symbol $\cong$ will denote the isomorphism of objects in the corresponding category.

Assumptions Throughout this paper we assume for simplicity that all manifolds and maps are smooth of the class C^r, $r \geq 1$, and r is large enough which is necessary for a suitable rigid geometry. All neighborhoods are assumed to be open and all manifolds are assumed to be Hausdorff unless otherwise specified.

2 Rigid geometries

2.1 Parallelizable manifolds

Recall that a manifold admitted an e-structure is called parallelizable. In other words, a parallelizable manifold is a pair (P, ω), where P is a k-dimensional smooth manifold and ω is a smooth non-degenerate $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued 1-form ω on P, i. e., $\omega_u \colon T_uP \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is an isomorphism of the vector spaces for each $u \in P$.

2.2 Rigid structures

Denote by $P(N,H)$ a principal H-bundle with the projection $p\colon P \to N$. Suppose that the action of H on P is a right action and R_a is the diffeomorphism of P, corresponding to an element $a \in H$.

Two principal bundles $P(N,H)$ and $\tilde{P}(\tilde{N},\tilde{H})$ are called *isomorphic* if $H = \tilde{H}$ and there exists a diffeomorphism $\Gamma\colon P \to \tilde{P}$ such that $\Gamma \circ R_a = \tilde{R}_a \circ \Gamma$, $\forall a \in H$, where $\tilde{R}_a$ is the transformation of $\tilde{P}$, corresponding to an element $a \in H$.

Definition 2. Let $P(N,H)$ be a principal H-bundle and (P,ω) be a parallelizable manifold satisfying the following condition:

(S) there is an inclusion $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ of the vector space of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of the Lie group H into vector space $\mathbb{R}^k$ such that $\omega(A^*) = A$, $\forall A \in \mathfrak{h}$, where A^* is the fundamental vector field on P corresponding to A.

Then $\xi = (P(N,H),\omega)$ is called a *rigid structure* on the manifold N. A pair (N,ξ) is called a *rigid geometry.* The Lie group H is called the structure Lie group of ξ.

Definition 3. Let $\xi = (P(N,H),\omega)$ and $\tilde{\xi} = (\tilde{P}(\tilde{N},H),\tilde{\omega})$ be two rigid structures. An isomorphism $\Gamma\colon P \to \tilde{P}$ of the principal bundles $P(N,H)$ and $\tilde{P}(\tilde{N},H)$ satisfying the equality $\Gamma^*\tilde{\omega} = \omega$ is called an isomorphism of the rigid structures ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$.

Any isomorphism Γ of rigid structures ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ defines a map $\gamma\colon N \to \tilde{N}$ such that $p \circ \Gamma = \gamma \circ p$, and γ is a diffeomorphism from N to $\tilde{N}$. The projection γ is called an *isomorphism* of the rigid geometries (N,ξ) and $(\tilde{N},\tilde{\xi})$.

2.3 Induced rigid geometries

Let $\xi = (P(N,H),\omega)$ be a rigid structure on a manifold N with the projection $p\colon P \to N$. Let V be an arbitrary open subset of the manifold N, let $P_V := p^{-1}(V)$ and $\omega_V := \omega|_{P_V}$. Then $\xi_V := (P_V(V,H),\omega_V)$ is also a rigid structure.

Definition 4. The pair (V,ξ_V) defined above is called an *induced rigid geometry on the open subset* V of N.

2.4 Effectiveness of rigid geometries

Let $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ be the group of all automorphisms of a rigid structure $\xi = (P(N,H),\omega)$. It is a Lie group as a closed subgroup of the group $\mathcal{A}(P,\omega)$ of all automorphism of a parallelizable manifold (P,ω). Denote by $\mathcal{A}(N,\xi)$ the group of all automorphisms of the geometry (N,ξ), i. e., $\mathcal{A}(N,\xi) := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Diff}(N) \mid \exists\, \Gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\xi) : p \circ \Gamma = \gamma \circ p\}$. Consider the group epimorphism $\chi\colon \mathcal{A}(\xi) \to \mathcal{A}(N,\xi)\colon \Gamma \mapsto \gamma$, where γ is the projection of Γ with respect to $p\colon P \to N$.

Definition 5. Let $\xi = (P(N,H),\omega)$ be a rigid structure on a manifold N with the projection $p\colon P \to N$. The group $\mathrm{Gauge}(\xi) := \{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\xi) \mid p \circ \Gamma = p\}$ is called a *group of gauge transformations of the rigid structure* ξ.

Remark that $\mathrm{Gauge}(\xi)$ is a closed normal Lie subgroup of the group $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ as the kernel of the natural group epimorphism $\chi\colon \mathcal{A}(\xi) \to \mathcal{A}(N,\xi)$.

Definition 6. A rigid structure $\xi = (P(N,H),\omega)$ is called *effective* if for an arbitrary open connected subset V in N the induced rigid structure $\xi_V = (P_V(V,H),\omega_V)$ has the trivial group of gauge transformations, i. e., $\mathrm{Gauge}(\xi_V) = \{\mathrm{id}_{P_V}\}$. A rigid geometry (N,ξ) is said *to be effective* if ξ is an effective rigid structure.

We want to emphasize that effective Cartan geometries ([15], [4]), G-structures of finite type and rigid structures in sense of [1] are examples of effective rigid geometries.

2.5 Pseudogroup of local automorphisms

Let (N,ξ) be a rigid geometry. For arbitrary open subsets $V, V' \subset N$ an isomorphism $V \to V'$ of the induced rigid geometries (V,ξ_V) and $(V',\xi_{V'})$ is called a *local automorphism* of (N,ξ). The family $\mathcal{H}$ of all local automorphisms of a rigid geometry (N,ξ) forms a pseudogroup of local automorphisms. Denote it by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(N,\xi)$. Recall that a pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ of local diffeomorphisms of manifold N is called *quasi-analytic* if the existence of an open subset $V \subset N$ and an element $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\gamma|_V = \mathrm{id}_V$ implies that $\gamma|_{D(\gamma)} = \mathrm{id}_{D(\gamma)}$ in the entire (connected) domain $D(\gamma)$ on which γ is defined.

We have the following evident statement.

Proposition 1. *The pseudogroup $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(N,\xi)$ of all local automorphisms of an effective rigid geometry (N,ξ) is quasi-analytic.*

3 Foliations with transverse rigid geometries. Foliated bundles

3.1 Ehresmann connection for foliations

The notion of an Ehresmann connection for foliations was introduced by Blumenthal and Hebda [3]. We use the terminology from [16]. Let (M,F) be a smooth foliation of codimension $n \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ be a n-dimensional transversal distribution on M. All maps considered here are assumed to be piecewise smooth. The curves in the leaves of the foliation are called vertical; the distribution $\mathfrak{M}$ and its integral curves are called horizontal.

A map $H : I_1 \times I_2 \to M$, where $I_1 = I_2 = [0,1]$, is called a vertical-horizontal homotopy if for each fixed $t \in I_2$, the curve $H_{|I_1\times\{t\}}$ is horizontal, and for each fixed $s \in I_1$, the curve $H_{|\{s\}\times I_2}$ is vertical. The pair of curves $(H_{|I_1\times\{0\}}, H_{|\{0\}\times I_2})$ is called the base of H.

A pair of curves (σ,h) with a common starting point $\sigma(0) = h(0)$, where $\sigma : I_1 \to M$ is a horizontal curve, and $h : I_2 \to M$ is a vertical curve, is called admissible. If for each admissible pair of curves (σ,h) there exists a vertical-horizontal homotopy with the base (σ,h), then the distribution $\mathfrak{M}$ is called an *Ehresmann connection for the foliation* (M,F). Note that there exists at most one vertical-horizontal homotopy with a given base.

3.2 Foliations with transverse rigid geometries (TRG)

A foliation (M,F) of codimension n on an $(m+n)$-dimensional manifold M has a transverse rigid geometry (N,ξ), where N is a n-manifold, if (M,F) is defined by a cocycle $\eta = \{U_i, f_i, \{\gamma_{ij}\}\}_{i,j\in J}$ modeled on (N,ξ), i. e.,

1) $\{U_i \,|\, i \in J\}$ is an open covering of M;

2) $f_i \colon U_i \to N$ are submersions with connected fibres;

3) $\gamma_{ij} \circ f_j = f_i$ on $U_i \cap U_j$,

where γ_{ij} is a local automorphism of (N, ξ). The topological space N is not assumed to be connected.

Without loss of generality, we will suppose that $N = \cup_{i\in J} f_i(U_i)_{i\in J}$ and the family $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i\in J}$ is maximal as it is generally used in the manifold theory.

Recall that the pseudogroup generated by local diffeomorphisms γ_{ij}, $i, j \in J$, is referred to as the holonomy pseudogroup of (M, F). It is denoted by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(M, F)$.

Definition 7. The rigid geometry (N, ξ) mentioned above is called a *transverse geometry of the foliation* (M, F). The cocycle η modelled on (N, ξ) is said to be an (N, ξ)-*cocycle*.

Note that an e-foliation (or a transversally parallelizable foliation) is a foliation admitting transverse rigid geometry with the trivial structure Lie group H, i.e.. $H = \{e\}$.

3.3 The lifted foliation

We use the construction of the lifted foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ for a foliation (M, F) with TRG from [17]. It generalizes a similar construction for an effective Cartan foliation [16] and for a Riemannian foliation [12]. For a given foliation (M, F) with TRG one may construct a principle H-bundle $\mathcal{R}(M, H)$ (called a foliated bundle) with the projection $\pi : \mathcal{R} \to M$, an H-invariant transversely parallelizable foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ such that π is a morphism of $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ into (M, F) in the category of foliations $\mathcal{F}ol$. Moreover, there exists a $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued 1-form ω on $\mathcal{R}$ having the following properties:

(i) $\omega(A^*) = A$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{h}$, where A^* is the fundamental vector field corresponding to A;

(ii) $R_a^*\omega = \mathrm{Ad}_G(a^{-1})\omega \ \forall a \in H$;

(iii) for any $u \in \mathcal{R}$, the map $\omega_u : T_u\mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is surjective with the kernel $\ker \omega = T\mathcal{F}$, where $T\mathcal{F}$ is the tangent distribution to the foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$;

(iv) the Lie derivative $L_X\omega$ is zero for any vector field X tangent to the leaves of $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$.

The foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ is called the *lifted foliation*. The restriction $\pi_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L} \to L$ of π to a leaf $\mathcal{L}$ of $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ is a covering map onto the corresponding leaf L of (M, F). If $\mathcal{R}$ is disconnected, then we consider a connected component of $\mathcal{R}$.

Let (M, F) be defined by an (N, ξ)-cocycle $\eta = \{U_i, f_i, \{\gamma_{ij}\}\}_{i,j\in J}$. Effectiveness of ξ guarantees the existence of a unique isomorphism Γ_{ij} of the induced rigid structures $\xi_{f_j(U_i\cap U_j)}$ and $\xi_{f_i(U_i\cap U_j)}$, whose projection coincides with γ_{ij}. Hence, in the case $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$, the equality $\gamma_{ij} \circ \gamma_{jk} = \gamma_{ik}$ implies the equality (Γ_1) $\Gamma_{ij} \circ \Gamma_{jk} = \Gamma_{ik}$. The following two equalities are direct corollaries of (Γ_1) and the effectiveness of η: (Γ_2) $\Gamma_{ii} = \mathrm{id}_{P_i}$ and (Γ_3) $\Gamma_{ij} = (\Gamma_{ji})^{-1}$.

Remark that the holonomy pseudogroup of $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ is generated by Γ_{ij}, $i, j \in J$.

3.4 Structure Lie algebra of an e-foliation admitting an Ehresmann connection

At first we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let (M, F) be an e-foliation with an Ehresmann connection on a connected manifold M. Then the closures of its leaves are fibers of a locally trivial fibration $\pi_b : M \to W$ onto the manifold W. On every fiber $\overline{L}$, $L \in F$, of this fibration the induced foliation $(\overline{L}, F|_{\overline{L}})$ is a Lie foliation with sense leaves. The structure Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of the Lie foliation $(\overline{L}, F|_{\overline{L}})$ does not depend on the choice of $L \in F$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is called the structure Lie algebra of (M, F).*

Proof. Since an e-foliation (M, F) is a Riemannian one and it admits an Ehresmann connection, according to [18, Proposition 2] the holonomy group $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(M, F)$ is complete. Therefore we may apply results of Salem [14]. According [14] the closure $\overline{L}$ of a leaf L is a smooth manifold and the induced foliation $(\overline{L}, F|_{\overline{L}})$ is a Lie foliation with sense leaves. Moreover, the closures of leaves of (M, F) are leaves of a singular Riemannian foliation $(M, \overline{F})$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0(\overline{L}, F|_{\overline{L}})$ be the structure Lie algebra of the Lie foliation $(\overline{L}, F|_{\overline{L}})$. Since the filiation (M, F) admits an Ehresmann connection, the automorphism group of (M, F) in the category foliations $\mathcal{F}ol$ acts transitively on the set of its leaves. This means that for every leaves L and L' there is an automorpism $f : M \to M$ of (M, F) such that $f(L) = L'$. The diffeomorphism f has the property $f(\overline{L}) = \overline{L'}$. Therefore, $f|_{\overline{L}}$ is an isomorphism of the Lie foliations $(\overline{L}, F_{\overline{L}})$ and $(\overline{L'}, F_{\overline{L'}})$ in $\mathcal{F}ol$. It is well known that the structure Lie algebra of a Lie foliation with dense leaves is an invariant in the category $\mathcal{F}ol$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_0(\overline{L}, F_{\overline{L}}) = \mathfrak{g}_0(\overline{L'}, F_{\overline{L'}})$ and the definition $\mathfrak{g}_0(M, F) := \mathfrak{g}_0(\overline{L}, F_{\overline{L}})$ is correct.

Observe that $(M, \overline{F})$ is a regular Riemannian foliation with an induced Ehresmann connection, and all its leaves are closed. By analogy with proof of [12, Theorem 4.2'] we show that a leaf $\overline{L} \in \overline{F}$ has a saturated neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ such that $(\mathcal{U}, \overline{F}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is e-foliation. This implies that leaves of $(M, \overline{F})$ are fibers of a locally trivial fibration which is denoted by $\pi_b : M \to W$. □

For a complete e-foliations the similar theorem is proved in [12, Theorem 4.2'].

3.5 The structure Lie algebra of a foliation with TRG admitting an Ehresmann connection

We use notations introdused in Subsection 3.3. Let (M, F) be a foliation with TRG having an Ehresmann conection $\mathfrak{M}$. Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ be the lifted e-foliation and $\pi : \mathcal{R} \to M$ be the projection of H-bundle $\mathcal{R}(M.H)$. Observe that the distribution $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} = \pi^*\mathfrak{M}$ is an Ehresmann connection for the foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$. Applying Theorem 3 to e-foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ admitting an Ehresmann connection we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let (M, F) be a foliation with TRG admitting an Ehresmann connection and let $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ be its lifted e-foliation. Then:*

(i) the closure of the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ are fibers of a certain locally trivial fibration $\pi_b \colon \mathcal{R} \to W$;

(ii) the foliation $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{F}|_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}})$ induced on the closure $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is a Lie foliation with dense leaves with the structure Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$, that is the same for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 8. The structure Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of the Lie foliation $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{F}|_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}})$ is called *the structure Lie algebra* of the foliation (M, F) with TRG admitting an Ehresmann connection and is denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0(M, F)$.

Remark 2. If (M, F) is a Riemannian foliation on a compact manifold, this notion coincides with the notion of a structure Lie algebra in the sense of Molino [12].

Definition 9. The fibration $\pi_b \colon \mathcal{R} \to W$ satisfying Theorem 4 is called a *basic fibration* for (M, F).

Remark 3. Under stronger conditions of completeness of (M, F) the similar theorem obtained in [17, Theorem 2]. The advantage of Theorem 4 before [17, Theorem 2] is also that the condition of existence of an Ehresmann connection for (M, F) is defined on M in contrast to the completeness of (M, F) with TRG which is defined on the space of the H-bundle over M.

3.6 Foliations with the zero structure Lie algebra

The following proposition is proved using Theorem 3 by an analogy with [17, Proposition 7].

Proposition 2. *Let (M, F) be a foliation with TRG admitting an Ehresmann connection. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_0(M, F) = 0$. Let $\pi_b \colon \mathcal{R} \to W$ be the basic fibration. Then:*

(i) the formula

$$\Phi^W \colon W \times H \to W \colon (w, a) \mapsto \pi_{\mathrm{b}}(R_a(u)) \ \ \forall (w, a) \in W \times H, \ \ \forall u \in \pi_{\mathrm{b}}^{-1}(w)$$

defines a smooth locally free action of the Lie group H on the basic manifold W;

(ii) there is a homeomorphism $s \colon M/F \to W/H$ between the leaf space M/F and the orbit space W/H satisfying the equality $q \circ \pi_b = s \circ r \circ \pi$, where $q \colon W \to W/H$ is the quotient map onto W/H, $r \colon M \to M/F$ is the quotient map onto M/F;

(iii) the equality $\pi_b^* \beta \bar{\omega} = \omega$ defines an $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued non-degenerate 1-form β on W such that $\beta(A_W^*) = A$, where A_W^* is the fundamental vector field on W defined by an element $A \in \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$.

4 Rigid geometries on leaf manifolds

4.1 A generalized manifolds of leaf spaces of foliations

Consider a smooth foliation (M, F) of codimension n on $(n + m)$-dimensional manifold M. Denote by $r : M \to M/F$ the quotient map onto the leaf space. Refferring to [9] let us consider the category $\mathbb{R}_n$ with objects open submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$, where morphisms $Hom(U, V)$ are diffeomorphisms $f : U \to V$ onto $f(U) \subset V$.

Consider a foliation (M, F) of codimension n on $(m + n)$-dimensional manifold M. At every point $x \in M$ there exists a chart (V, φ) of M adapted to (M, F). This means that $\varphi(V) = W \times U \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \cong \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where W and U are open subsets in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ respectively and $\varphi(x) = (y, z) \in W \times U$. Denote by $pr : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ the canonical projection. The fibers of the submersion $pr \circ \varphi : V \to U$ belong to leaves of the foliation (M, F).

Let $r : M \to M/F$ be the projection onto the leaf space M/F of (M, F). Denote by $j : U \to W \times U$ the embedding such that $j(U) = \{y\} \times U$. The pair (U, k), where $k := r|_U \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ j : U \to M/F$, is called a $\mathbb{R}^n$-chart on M/F.

Definition 10. Two charts (U', k') and (U'', k'') on M/F for which $k'(U') \cap k''(U'') \neq \emptyset$, are called compatible if for each point $z \in k'(U') \cap k''(U'')$ there exist a chart (U, k), $z \in k(U)$, with two morphisms $h' : U \to U'$ and $h'' : U \to U''$.

Definition 11. A smooth atlas on M/F is a family of charts $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_i, k_i) \,|\, i \in J\}$ satidfying the following two conditions:
1) the set $\{k_i(U_i) \,|\, i \in J\}$ is a covering of M/F. i.e. $\cup_{i \in J} U_i = M/F$;
2) every two charts from $\mathcal{A}$ are compatible.
A smooth atlas $\mathcal{A}$ is maximal if it is maximal relatively inclusion.

Definition 12. A pair $(M/F, \mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal atlas on M/F is referred to as a leaf manifold. The leaf manifold is denoted by M_F. The number n is called the dimension of M_F.

Any atlas $\mathcal{A}$ defines the maximal atlas $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ as the set of charts which are compatible with all charts from $\mathcal{A}$, hence $\mathcal{A}$ defines a smooth structure on M/F.

Definition 13. Let $(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ be two n-dimensional leaf manifolds. A map $h : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ is said to be a motphism if for each chart $(U, k) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ the pair $(U, h \circ k)$ is a chart from $\mathcal{A}_2$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{Fol}$ the category of leaf manifolds of all dimensions.

Remark 4. We emphasize that Definition 12 is equivalent to the definition a smooth structure on a leaf space in the sense of [9]. It is known [9, Theorem 2] that for every foliation there exists a smooth atlas on the leaf space.

Remark 5. On a leaf manifold $\mathcal{N}$ the tangent vector bundle $T\mathcal{N}$ and vector-valued forms are defined [9], [11].

4.2 Rigid geometries on leaf manifolds

Take any n-dimensional leaf manifold $\mathcal{N} \in Ob(\mathfrak{Fol})$. Assume that its associated foliation (M, F) admits a transverse rigid geometry. This is equivalent to the existence of a lifted foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{R}(M, H)$ is a principal H-bundle with the projection $\pi : \mathcal{R} \to M$, and the existence of a $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued 1-form β on $\mathcal{R}$ satisfying conditions $(i) - (iv)$ from Subsection 3.3.

A leaf L considered as a point of the leaf space we denote by $[L]$. Let $r : M \to \mathcal{N}$ and $r_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the projections onto the leaf manifolds $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{N} \cong M_F$ respectively. Since $\pi : \mathcal{R} \to M$ is a morphism in the foliation category, the following map $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{N} : [\mathcal{L}] \mapsto [\pi(\mathcal{L})], \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}$, is defined and satisfies the equality $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \circ r_{\mathcal{F}} = r \circ \pi$.

Due to H-invariance of $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ the map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \times H \to \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} : [\mathcal{L}] \mapsto [R_a(\mathcal{L})],\ \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F},\ a \in H,$$

defines a right action of the Lie group H on $\mathcal{R}$, and the orbit space $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}/H$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{N}$. Let us identify $\mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}/H$ and use the notation $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}, H)$ for the quotient map $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}/H$ which is considered as an H-bundle of leaf manifolds.

The property (iv) in Subsection 3.3 implies the existence of $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued 1-form β on $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\beta \circ \pi_{\mathcal{F}} = \omega$, where ω satisfies (iv).

Definition 14. The pair $\xi = (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}, H), \beta)$ is called a rigid geometry on the leaf manifold $\mathcal{N}$ defined by the transverse rigid geometry of the associated foliation (M, F).

Definition 15. Let $\xi = (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}, H), \beta)$ and $\xi' = (\mathcal{R}'_{\mathcal{F}'}(\mathcal{N}', H'), \beta')$ be two rigid geometries on n-dimensional leaf manofolds $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$ respectively. A map $\Gamma : \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{R}'_{\mathcal{F}'}$ is called a morphism $\xi \to \xi'$ if $H = H'$ and Γ satisfies the following two conditions:

$$1)\, \Gamma^* \beta' = \beta, \quad 2)\, \Gamma \circ R_a = R_a \circ \Gamma \ \ \forall a \in H.$$

Thus rigid geometries on leaf manifolds form the category $\mathfrak{RF}$.

In notations introduced above, each $\Gamma \in Mor(\xi, \xi')$ defines the projection $\gamma : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}'$ such that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \Gamma = \gamma \circ \pi_{\mathcal{F}'}$. There is a covariant functor $\mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{RF} \to \mathfrak{F}$ forgetting rigid geometries. Hence $\mathcal{K}(\xi) = \mathcal{N}$ for each $\xi \in Ob(\mathfrak{RF})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\Gamma) = \gamma$ for every $\Gamma \in Mor(\xi, \xi')$.

4.3 The structure Lie algebra of a rigid geometry on a leaf manifold

Further we assume that all considered foliations admit an Ehresmann connection. Take any $\mathcal{N} \in Ob(\mathfrak{F})$. Let (M, F) be an associated foliation with an Ehresmann connection $\mathfrak{M}$. Use notations from Subsections 3.3 and 3.4.

Consider a leaf $\mathcal{L}$ of the lifted foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$. We denote the induced foliation on the closure $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ by $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{F})$. According to Theorem 3 $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{F})$ is a Lie foliation with dense leaves.

Since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} = \pi^*\mathfrak{M}$ is an Ehresmann connection for $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$, the distribution $\mathcal{N} := \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}} \cap T\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is an Ehresmann connection for $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{F})$.

Let k_0 be the codimension of the foliation $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{F})$, hence $\omega(\mathfrak{N}) = \mathbb{R}^{k_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$.

Any vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{R})$ admits a unique representation in the form $Z = Z^{\mathfrak{N}} + Z^{\mathcal{F}}$, where $Z^{\mathfrak{N}} \in \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{R})$, $Z^{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathfrak{X}_{T\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{R})$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \{Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{R}) \,|\, \omega(Z) = const \in \mathbb{R}^{k_0}\}$. For any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ put $[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}_0} := [X, Y]^{\mathfrak{N}}$, where $[X, Y]$ is the Lie bracket of the vector fields X and Y. By analogy with [12, Subsection 4.2] we show that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with the bracket $[-, -]_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is the structural Lie algebra of the Lie foliation $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{F})$ with dense leaves. According to (iv) from Subsection 3.3 $L_Z\omega = 0$ for every $Z \in \mathfrak{X}_{T\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{R})$. This implies the invariance of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ respectively holonomy diffeomorphisms of the foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$. Therefore the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is defined on the leaf manifold $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$. By Theorem 3 $\mathfrak{g}_0$ does not depend on the choice of a leaf $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Thus we proved the following statement.

Proposition 3. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a leaf manifold from $\mathfrak{F}$. Let $\xi = (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}, H), \beta)$ be a rigid geometry on $\mathcal{N}$ defined by the transverse rigid geometry of an associated foliation (M, F).*

Then some Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is defined on $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Definition 16. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0(\xi)$ indicated in Proposition 3 is called the structural Lie algebra of the rigid geometry ξ on $\mathcal{N}$.

4.4 Proof of Theorem 1

Consider a rigid geometry $\xi = (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{N}, H), \beta) \in \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{F}$ on n-dimension leaf manifold $\mathcal{N}$ having $\mathfrak{g}_0(\xi) = 0$. In accordance with Proposition 2 in this case the leaves of the lifted foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ are fibers of the locally trivial basic fibration $\pi_b : \mathcal{R} \to W$. Therefore the leaf manifold $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic in the category $\mathfrak{F}$ to the manifold W of dimension $\dim(W) = n + s$, where $s = \dim(H)$. Hence the statement (i) of Theorem 1 follows from Propositions 3 and 2.

Observe that (W, β) is a parallelisable manifold. As it is well known, the group of all automorhisms $A(W, \beta) = \{h \in \mathrm{Diff}(W) \,|\, f^*\beta = \beta\}$ of (W, β) admits a Lie group structure, and its dimension is not more than $\dim(W)$. According to Definition 15 the group $Aut(\xi)$ of all automorhisms of ξ in the category $\mathfrak{F}$ is equal to

$$Aut(\xi) = \{h \in Diff(W) \,|\, h^*\beta = \beta,\ R_a^W \circ h = h \circ R_a^W\ \forall a \in H\}.$$

Hence,

$$Aut(\xi) = \{h \in A(W, \beta) \,|\, R_a^W \circ h = h \circ R_a^W, a \in H\}.$$

This implies that $Aut(\xi)$ is a closed subgroup of the Lie group $A(W, \beta)$. It means that $Aut(\xi)$ admits a Lie group structure, and its dimension is not more than $\dim(W)$. Since $Aut(\xi)$ is a transformation group, $Aut(\xi)$ is equipped with the compact-open topology and a Lie group structure on $Aut(\xi)$ is unique [13, Theorem VI]. Thus statements (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1 are proved. □

4.5 Proof of Theorem 2.

Recall that a smooth foliation (M, F) is called proper if each its leaf is an embedded submanifold in M. A subset M_0 of M is said to be saturated if it is a union of leaves of (M, F).

Recall that a topological space satisfies the separation axiom T_0 if for any different points a and b there exist neighborhoods U_a of a and U_b of b such that $a \notin U_b$ and $b \notin U_a$. As it is known, a foliation is proper iff its leaf space satisfies the separation axiom T_0.

Assume that a leaf manifold $\mathcal{N}$ satisfies the separation axiom T_0. Hence every associated foliation (M, F) is proper. Assume that (M, F) is a foliation with TRG. Let ξ be the induced rigid geometry on $\mathcal{N}$. Consider the lifted foliation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$. It is also proper. Since the closure $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ of a leaf $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}$ contains only non-proper leaves, it is necessary $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}$. This implies that the structure Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0(\xi)$ is zero.

Similarly to [19, Theorem 1.1] for proper Cartan foliations admitting Ehresmann conections, we prove the following statement.

Theorem 5. *Let (M, F) be an arbitrary proper Cartan foliation of codimension n admitting an Ehresmann connection.*

Then there exists a not necessarily connected, saturated, dense open subset M_0 of M such that the induced foliation $(M_0, F|_{M_0})$ is formed by the fibers of a locally trivial fibration $p : M_0 \to B$ with the standard fiber L_0 over a smooth n-dimensional (not necessarily Hausdorff) manifold B.

Now the statement 2) of Theorem 2 is a direct corollary of Theorem 5. □

Acknowledgments.

I express my gratitude to Anton Galaev who drew my attention to the works of M. V. Losik.

This work was prepared within the framework of the Academic Fund Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE) in 2016–2017 (grant 16-01-0010) and supported within the framework of a subsidy granted to the HSE by the Government of the Russian Federation for the implementation of the Global Competitiveness Program.

References

[1] D'Ambra G.; Gromov M., Lectures on transformation groups, geometry and dynamics. Surveys in Differential Geometry. **1** (1991), 19–111.

[2] Bagaev A. V., Zhukova N. I., The Automorphism Groups of Finite Type G-Structures on Orbifolds, Siberian Mathematical J., **44** (2) (2003), 213–224.

[3] Blumenthal R. A.; Hebda J. J., Ehresmann connections for foliations. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **33** (1984), no. 4, 597–611.

[4] Čap, A.; Slovák, J. Parabolic geometries. I. Background and general theory. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 154. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[5] Connes A., Noncommutative Geometry. Academic Press. 1994.

[6] Crainic M., Moerdijk J., Čech-De Rham theory for leaf spaces of foliations. Math. Ann. **328** (2004), 59–85.

[7] Moerdijk J., Models for the leaf spaces of a foliation. European Congress of Mathematics: Barcelona, July 1014, 2000, V.1.

[8] Haefliger A., Feuilletage sur les variétes ouvertes. Topology. **9**(1970), 183–194.

[9] Losik M. V., Categorical differential geometry. Cahiers de topol.et geom. diff. cat. **35** (1994), no 4. 274–290.

[10] Losik M. V. On some generalization of a manifold and its characteristic classes (Russian), Funktional Anal. i Prilozhen. **24** (1990). no 1. 29–37. English translation in Functional Anal. Appl. **24** (1990), 26–32.

[11] Losik M. V., Orbit spaces and leaf spaces of foliations as generalized manifolds. ArXiv Math. 1501. 04993 v.1. 21 p.

[12] Molino P., Riemannian foliations. Progress in Mathematics, bf 73. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.

[13] Palais R. S., A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups, Memoirs of AMS **22** (1957), 1–129.

[14] Salem E., Riemannian foliations and Pseudogroups. Appendix D in [12], 265–296.

[15] Sharpe R. W., Differential Geometry: Cartan's Generalization of Klein's Erlangen Progpam, Grad. Texts in Math. **166**. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1997.

[16] Zhukova N. I., Minimal sets of Cartan foliations. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **256** (2007), no. 1, 105–135

[17] Zhukova N. I., Complete foliations with transverse rigid geometries and their basic automorphisms, Bulletin of Peoples' Friendship University of Russia. Ser. Math. Information Sci. Phys. **2** (2009) 14–35 .

[18] Zhukova N. I., Global attractors of complete conformal foliations. Sbornik: Mathematics. **203** (2012), no. 3, 380–405.

[19] Zhukova N. I., Typical Properties of Leaves of Cartan Foliations with Ehresmann Connection. Journal of Mathematical Sciences. **219** (2016), no. 1, 112–124.

N.I. Zhukova
National Research University Higher School of Economics,
Department of Informatics, Mathematics and Computer Science,
20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000 Russia
E-mail: nzhukova@hse.ru